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Abstract

The increasing number of applications of composites in aircraft structures, promises the manufacturers significant cost and weight savings. But there is a considerable danger that this is being achieved at the expense of serviceability, which is essential to a maximum utilisation of the aircraft.

Repair of composite structures and components is not always evident, especially for airlines having several aircraft types of different manufacturers.

The different steps of composite repair, including maintenance and inspection, will be discussed: damage assessment, surface preparation, repair types, repair materials, taper ratio and overlap length, sequence of patch overlay.

Some of these steps are still indefinite; for others the differences between manufacturers and the potential problems for the airlines will be pictured.

To standardize repair as far as possible, and to minimize the cost of repair and maintenance, IATA formed a Composite Repair Task Force (CRTF). One of the most important achievements of CRTF is the agreement of major original equipment manufacturers (OEM) to call out common repair materials, applicable to different aircraft types.

I. Composites in airlines

Until recently, composite materials were only used in secondary and tertiary structures. In the design of modern aircraft, the use of composites is now extended to primary structures such as all composite vertical fins and horizontal stabilizers (Fig. 1.). The different composite structures of an aircraft may be constructed of GFRP, AFRP, CFRP or hybrids, and can be of sandwich, monolithic or mixed construction. Composite design accounts for high specific strength and stiffness, superior fatigue and corrosion resistance, resulting in significant weight savings.

The OEM’s take also advantage of reduced manufacturing costs: good formability and design of integral structures.

However, the major concern of airlines is the serviceability of these composites.

II. Maintainability

Proper maintainability is a key factor for airlines to control operation costs.

Exterior paint stripping

There is an urgent need to evaluate alternative paint stripping methods, taking into account the total cost of stripping a complete aircraft, including repair cost incurred because of damage.

Toxicological aspects of paint strippers, environmental and economical aspects of waste disposal, will restrict the use of conventional chemical strippers in many countries in the near future. Anyway, chemical paint stripping of composites is not acceptable because of the damage to the resin matrix. Hand sanding is the only currently unrestricted approved method for paint removal, but it is unacceptably time consuming and labor intensive when stripping the whole aircraft.

Component size

Composites lend themselves to the lay-up of "unitized structures" (Fig. 2.). This reduces part count and is favoured by OEM’s. However, to an airline it causes problems if a large part has to be removed from an aircraft, only to repair a small amount of damage.

Fig. 1. Composites in airliners

Copyright © 1990 by ICAS and AIAA. All rights reserved,
The audio-sonic inspection (usually named tapping) is very simple and easy, but subjective in its interpretation. It allows mapping of disbonds or delaminations on thin skins. The real damage size can be estimated by multiplicating the assessed damage by an "uncertainty factor", given for different composite types and structures.

Instrumental NDI

Instrumental NDI methods define the damaged area based on the sensitivity and accuracy given by the calibration standards. Ultrasonic scanning is the best method to locate and identify damage on monolithic components. But it is only useful when performed by qualified personnel using the correct calibration standard. The method can also be used to inspect sandwich components for disbonds. X-ray radiography can be used to assess liquid water content or crushed honeycomb of sandwich structures. These methods should be standardized to a high degree and—unfortunately—none of the inexpensive and portable instrumental NDI equipment is yet commercially available.

V. Surface preparation

Decontamination

Before accomplishing a repair, all contaminants must be removed, e.g. hydraulic fluid, engine oil, aviation fuel, de/anti-icing fluid...

The surfaces have to be cleaned with compatible chemicals, in order to remove contaminants and to avoid damage to the composite resin system. Therefore the OEM’s should specify and standardize upon technical grade cleaning fluids and methods.

Drying

According to the SRM (Structural Repair Manual), all composites must be dried before repair. However, up to now, no simple and inexpensive instrument for measuring moisture content of CFRP is commercially available. Until such an instrument is produced, parts must be dried under heat and vacuum for several hours. Guidance is required regarding temperature of drying and time required to be dry enough for a good repair.

Local paint stripping

Hand sanding is the only method for local paint stripping approved by the OEM’s, although this process is time consuming and labour intensive.
VI. Repair types

Design characteristics

Each of the following design characteristics, related to flight safety, should be used in the description of the repairability of composite structures:

- structural importance
- aerodynamic smoothness
- heat resistance
- fire resistance
- electrical conductivity
- radar transparency
- weight and balance
- ...

These design characteristics determine allowable damage limits, repairable damage limits and applicable repair methods.

For an airline composite repair engineer, it is important to understand which design characteristics determine the given limitations, so he can design a good repair for damage beyond the limits of the SRM.

Field repair

Airlines need field repairs to allow the aircraft to make limited revenue flights to fly back to the home-base. They may be performed at an out-station, where no specific equipment is available, in a limited downtime.

Structures with relatively large damage should be repairable without any surface preparation or drying.

In-situ repair

Since there is a tendency towards "unitized structures", the OEM's shall provide in-situ repairs for damage that is likely to occur on such components. This avoids part removal and minimizes downtime.

Hot bonded prepreg repair

To avoid damage to the originally cured resin system the maximum temperature of the hot bonded prepreg repair shall be below, at least 25°C, the original cure temperature.

Furthermore, the development and use of preps, film adhesives and foaming films with a much lower cure temperature, such as 95°C, shall be encouraged.

Prepreg repairs are easy to carry out and have uniform properties. But they also have some disadvantages: storage at -18°C, with a shelf life of only 6 to 12 months. The purchase costs are high, especially for small quantities, as it is the case for airlines.

Cold bonded wet lay-up

Wet lay-up materials are less expensive and can be stored at room temperature.

But wet lay-up repairs require skilled people and the repairable damage limits are much smaller.

For less important components, wet lay-up repairs should be considered as permanent. Curing can be accomplished up to a maximum of 80°C, either to accelerate the cure or to achieve the properties required.

VII. Repair materials

Different designs and materials

Airlines are not only operating different types of aircraft, but aircraft manufacturers also tend to subcontract both design and manufacturing of composite structures to different subcontractors. This leads to a large number of not only aircraft specific, but also component specific materials and processes, most of them with similar objectives.

As airlines are obliged to fulfill the requirements of each OEM's SRM, this compliance with aircraft specific and component specific repair materials and processes presents a problem.

Shelf life and small quantities

Each individual airline has a fairly small consumption of composite repair materials, which normally have a shelf life of six to twelve months. It is very difficult and expensive to have all the specified materials on the shelf and within the recommended shelf life at the same time.

Therefore, the material suppliers should develop suitable packaged repair materials taking into account the need of airlines for small quantity material supply.

Material source

The true source of all materials and their original material suppliers part number shall be quoted in the material data sheet and on the package. This could save a great deal of money on research, only to find that materials were identical to others previously tested.

Incoming material testing

It does not make sense that every airline has to purchase a second roll of prepreg, only to do incoming tests, like liquid chromatography and infrared spectroscopy.

The incoming material testing should be performed by the material supplier, who should provide a "certificate of conformance" to the material data sheet.

Shelf life extension

A small number of tests should allow airlines to extend the shelf life. These tests shall concentrate only on properties most affected by possible quality reduction, and take into account the limited airline testing facilities.
Repair material substitution

It happens more than once that none of the repair materials on the qualified products list (QPL) is available.

The use of material data sheets of different repair materials for comparative purposes is unworkable.
The material data sheet lay-out and testing procedures are different for each material supplier, even for different products of the same material supplier.

Additionally, different OEM's raise their own specifications and require different performance levels for the same type of material.
Sometimes an OEM will require only the top performing materials from a batch, which may produce properties above those quoted on the material supplier data sheet.

Repair material standardization

For design purposes, OEM's will hardly be able to agree upon standardization of composite materials, because it took decades to establish a workable material data base.

But for repair purposes, the OEM's should accept each others repair materials specifications, or specify repair materials according to a worldwide accepted repair material specification.
Material suppliers will request qualification of their materials to these repair materials specifications.

Standardization of repair materials specifications is an urgent need : airlines want to be able to purchase these materials as easily and with the same clarity of definition as aluminum or steel alloys.

Repair material qualification procedure

On the longer term, the OEM's together could develop a detailed repair material qualification procedure : not only material properties shall be evaluated, but repair type specific tests shall be performed as well.

These qualification procedures should specify standardized test methods, number of specimens required, acceptance values, and will cover areas such as qualification, production control, incoming tests, shelf life extension, repair procedures and processes.

Repair materials qualification procedures can be used by the OEM's, but also by the airlines to qualify alternative repair materials themselves.

VIII. Taper ratio and overlap length

Different manufacturers use different values for taper ratio and overlap length :

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OEM</th>
<th>Taper ratio</th>
<th>Overlap length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boeing</td>
<td>50/1</td>
<td>.50&quot;/ply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airbus</td>
<td>50/1</td>
<td>.50&quot;/ply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>50/1</td>
<td>10 mm/ply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fokker</td>
<td>30/1</td>
<td>.25&quot;/ply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westland</td>
<td>20/1</td>
<td>10 mm/ply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shorts</td>
<td>20/1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saab</td>
<td>20/1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3.a. Stepped joint

Fig. 3.b. Scarf joint

Because the above values do not differ too much, it should be possible to standardize to some commonly accepted values.
All OEMs tend to the conservative side, which gives a fairly large lap.

The lap length is designed according to the strain level. Since primary structure components mostly contain bolt holes, stress concentrations around those holes reduce the allowable strain.
Taper ratio's of 20/1 or 25/1 are recommended for monolithic panels. This results in a significant repair area reduction.

On the other hand, for wet lay-up repair, some OEM's enlarge the taper ratio from 50/1 to 100/1 and the overlap length from .50" to 1.00"/ply, regardless of the cure temperature.

IX. Sequence of patch overlay

For airlines, there is no logical reason why OEM's have such a variety of different composite repair processes. They differ not only in materials used, but also in:
- the number of patches : two extra plies compared with the original build-up or equal number of plies as the original part;
- the kind of repair patches build-up : largest ply at the top or at the bottom.
Task Force membership was not limited to airlines only. Major OEM's as Airbus, Boeing, Douglas and Fokker participated on an active basis, and some material suppliers were represented as well.

In two years time, the CRIF produced a document: "Guidance Material for the design, maintenance, inspection and repair of thermo-setting epoxy matrix composite aircraft structures".

Repair materials

Standard data sheets for adhesives and prepregs have been proposed. The OEM's agreed to call out in the future common repair material specifications, applicable to different aircraft types. The QPL shall contain at least three materials coming from different sources throughout the world. This enables an airline to purchase one 250°F cure carbon prepreg to repair all the aircraft types it is flying.

On the longer term, the CRIF document proposes qualification procedures for airlines to carry out agreed tests to qualify alternative repair materials themselves.

Taper ratio and overlap length

Agreement has been reached with all OEM's on standard overlaps and taper ratio for repair joints.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONSTRUCTION</th>
<th>JOINT DESIGN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sandwich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>skin thickness &lt; 0.5 mm</td>
<td>Scarf joint, taper 50/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or Lap joint, overlap 13 mm</td>
<td>0.5-1.5 mm Scarf joint, taper 40/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 1.5 mm</td>
<td>Scarf joint, taper 30/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or Stepped joint, overlap 25/1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monolithic</td>
<td>Scarf joint, taper 30/1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sequence of patch overlay

Five standard sequences of repair patch overlays have also been agreed.

Smallest ply first for stepped joints. Each ply shall be oriented in the same direction as the ply it overlaps.

For a scarf joint, both smallest ply first or largest ply first are acceptable. Each ply shall be oriented to drawing.

For lap joints on sandwich skins, where honeycomb has been replaced and brought to the level of the skin, both smallest ply first and largest ply first are acceptable. Each ply shall be oriented i.a.w. the SRM.
Training

Training has received a great deal of attention. The document contains suggested training programs both for Repair Design Engineers and Repair Mechanics.

Training is one of the most important factors in the achievement of high quality composite repairs.

New aircraft

The IATA believes that the use of this document when purchasing new aircrafts can result in the production of better composite parts, which are more easily repairable and cost-effective in use.

The required documentation provides better repair instructions and ways of approving alternative repair materials.

REFERENCES


For information: IATA, Attn. Ray E. WALTER, 2000 Peel Street, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3A 2R4