

PIV INVESTIGATION OF AN AIRFOIL WITH A GURNEY FLAP

J. Nožicka, M. Matejka, P. Bárta Czech Technical University in Prague, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Department of Fluid Dynamics and Power Engineering Address: Technická 4, 166 07 Praha, Czech Republic Corresponding author: J. Nožicka, *email:* Jiri.Nozicka@fs.cvut.cz, phone: +420-22435-2580

Keywords: Gurney flap, PIV measurement, Kutta-Joukowski Lift Theorem, Wind tunnel tests

Abstract

This paper reviews the research on NACA 23012 and FX66-17AII-182 airfoil performances for different angles of attack at Re $\approx 3.10^5$, based on chord, both with clean configuration and the flaps. The performances were measured using 2D-PIV (Two-dimensional Particle Image Velocimetry) system and pressure measurement.

This report also describes new methods in Gurney flap research, using 2D-PIV system and Kutta-Joukowski Lift Theorem or static pressure assumption to evaluate airfoil lift.

1 Introduction

The Gurney flap is a vertical short strip added to the trailing edge on the pressure side of a wing. It can have relatively powerful effect on the aerodynamics of a wing, increasing lift with only small change of drag penalties.

The most common application of this device is in racing-car spoilers, where it is used to increase the down-force. The Gurney flap was applied to some helicopters, where the flaps are fitted on the horizontal inverted wing to improve performance during the high-powered climb or on vertical tails, to increase the force produced by a horizontal stabilizer. The Gurney flaps were also tested on delta wings and wind turbines.

2 Evaluation methods

The flow around the airfoil NACA 23012 with a Gurney flap and without a Gurney flap was



Fig. 1: NACA 23012 profile fitted with a Gurney flap $(c - chord \ length, h - Gurney \ flap \ height)$.

thoroughly measured with 2D-PIV, which made possible to obtain very detail look on a velocity vector field and circulation of the flow, both instantaneous and time-averaged values.

The detailed values of the circulation around the airfoil enable to use Kutta-Joukowski Lift Theorem to calculate the lift of the airfoil, to see the influence of the flaps on the flow and finally, altogether with the force measurements, to calculate aerodynamic performance of the airfoil.

Circulation method

The airflow is considered to be a potential twodimensional flow sufficiently far from the airfoil (outside the boundary layer). There rules Kutta-Joukowski Lift Theorem in such an idealized flow:

$$F_{v} = \boldsymbol{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \qquad (1)$$

where: F_ylift [N] rfree stream density [kg/m³] ufree stream velocity [m/s] Γ circulation around the profile [m²/s]

$$\Gamma = \oint_{c} v \cdot \cos \mathbf{a} \cdot ds \,, \qquad (2)$$

where:

c.....closed path

v.....velocity vector [m/s]

aangle between v and ds



Fig. 10: Total circulation.

Thorough knowledge of the velocity field around the profile is the base for evaluating of the total circulation integral (eq. 2) and subsequently for calculating the profile lift (eq. 1).

Pressure coefficient method

The other way of the lift evaluation from the velocity flow field is using the pressure coefficient. If the static pressure in the whole flow field and inside the boundary layer is assumed to be constant, then the pressure coefficient can be declared as a ratio:

$$C_{P_i} = 1 - \left(\frac{u_i}{u_{\infty}}\right)^2$$
, where: (3)

 u_{∞} free stream velocity [m/s]

 u_i local stream velocity [m/s]

Subsequently the lift coefficient is calculated:

$$C_L = \sum_{i=1}^n C_{Pi(low)} - \sum_{i=1}^n C_{Pi(high)}$$
(4)

Pressure distribution and the lift coefficient measured on the airfoil FX66-17AII-182 were compared with numerical simulation (Fluent).

3 Experimental equipment

PIV measurements were done in the closed-circuit wind tunnel with an open test section with dimensions 900 x 600 mm (Tu = 3,5 %) on the NACA 23012 airfoil (c = 400 mm with end plates) equipped with removable Gurney flap (GF).

Pressure measurements were done in the open wind tunnel with closed test section and with dimensions 1200 x 400mm (Tu = 1,1 %) on the FX66-17AII-182 airfoil (c = 400 mm) with a removable Gurney Flap and pressure orifices.

4 **Results**

Lift coefficients for both airfoils and both configurations (clean and with Gurney flap) are calculated from the results of the PIV (NACA 23012) or pressure distribution measurements (FX66-17AII-182).

There are measured 8 areas around the profile during the PIV measurement. Each resultant value is averaged from 60 instantaneous values. Image of the size 1024x1280 pixels contains 123x155 subregions with size 32x32 pixels and overlap 75 %.

Measured and calculated values during PIV measurement – NACA 23012:

$$\mathbf{r} = 1,178 \quad kg/m^{3}$$

$$\mathbf{u} = 1,569 \cdot 10^{-5} m^{2}/s \qquad \dots kinematic \ viscosity$$

$$u = 15,5 \quad m/s$$

$$\mathbf{g} = 7,5^{\circ} \qquad \dots angle \ of \ attack$$

$$\operatorname{Re}_{\operatorname{mod} el} = \frac{u \cdot c}{u} = \frac{15, 5 \cdot 0, 4}{1,569 \cdot 10^{-5}} = 4 \cdot 10^{5}$$

Measured and calculated values during pressure distribution measurements - FX66-17AII-182:

$$u = 13.05 \quad m/s$$

Re = $\frac{13,05 \cdot 0,4}{1,569 \cdot 10^{-5}} = 3,33 \cdot 10^{5}$

4.1 Kutta-Joukowski Lift Theorem NACA 23012

• Configuration without GF

 $\Gamma = 1,1299 \quad m^2 / s$ $F_{yP} = \mathbf{r} \cdot u \cdot \Gamma = c_L \cdot c \cdot \mathbf{r} \cdot \frac{u^2}{2} = 22,23 \quad N/m$ $c_L = 0,365 \qquad \dots \text{ lift coefficient}$



Fig. 11: Circulation values along the closed path – no GF.

• Configuration with 4 % GF

 $\Gamma_{GK} = 2,5049 \quad m^2 / s$ $F_{yPGK} = \mathbf{r} \cdot u \cdot \Gamma = 49,28 \quad N / m$ $c_L = 0,81$

There are shown measured flow velocities around the NACA 23012 airfoil (with and without Gurney flap) - figures 20-23, and the circulation values along the closed path around the profile - figures 11-13.



Fig. 12: Circulation values along the closed path - 4 % GF.



Fig. 13: Circulation values along the closed path (blue - no GF, red – 4 % GF).

4.2 Pressure coefficient *NACA 23012*, PIV measurement

Pressure distributions are shown in the fig. 14.

- Configuration without GF
- $c_L = 0,405$
 - Configuration with 4% GF

 $c_L = 0,894$

4.3 Pressure coefficient NACA 23012, numerical solution

Pressure distributions are showed in the figure 14 and velocity flow field in the figure 17-19.

• Configuration with 4% GF

$$c_L = 1,115$$

NACA 23012 (γ=7,5°, 4% GF)							
GF (%)	K-J theorem	Cp - PIV	Num. solution				
cL	0,365	0,405	-				
c _L with	0,81	0,894	1,115				
Increase (%)	122	120	-				

Table 1: Comparison of the lift coefficients - NACA 23012.



Fig. 14: Pressure distribution obtained from the PIV measurement and numerical solution (blue - no GF, red – 4 % GF, green – 4 % GF).

4.4 Pressure coefficient FX66-17AII-182, pressure measurement

The aerodynamic lift coefficients (lift slopes) of FX66-17AII-182 airfoil are shown in the figure 15 and Table 2.

FX66-17AII-182 (α=7,5°)							
GF (%)	C _L (-)	Increase (%)					
0	0,938	-					
0,5	1,049	11,8					
1	1,148	22,4					
1,5	1,214	29,4					
2	1 28	36.4					

Table 2: Comparison of the lift coefficients - FX66-17AII-182.



Fig. 15: Pressure distribution obtained from PIV measurement and numerical solution (blue - no GF, red – 4 % GF, green – 4 % GF).



Fig. 16: Increase of the aerodynamic lift coefficient to the size of GF.

5 Conclusion

The images of the flow velocities around the airfoils obtained from the measurement and calculation illustrate in the details the effect of the Gurney flap on the flow.

The comparison of the lift coefficients shows improvement in an aerodynamic performance for Gurney flap configuration.

Hence it was possible to calculate the total circulation and evaluate the lift of the profile with and without the Gurney flap by using the Kutta-Joukowski Lift Theorem or Static pressure assumption.

There is increase of the lift coefficient of the 2D profile NACA 23012 equipped with GF 120% in both methods (K-J lift theorem, Cp calculation). This increase is in good agreement

with other available results (Tab.3). Absolute values of the lift coefficient are lower than the results of measurement. This could be caused firstly by an inaccurate indication of a free stream velocity in the wind tunnel and secondly by piling images, selecting of closed path, selecting of an integration step, or an invalidation of potentiality condition in the wake region. Mentioned issues are subject of our next investigation.

Assessed results proved applicability of both methods to evaluate lift of an airfoil.

Lift slopes of 2D profile FX66-17AII-182 with GF were obtained. With respect to Table 2 can be observed rapid increase for airfoils equipped with 0,5 % and 1 % GF.

References

- [1] Bao, N., Ma, H. and Ye, Y. Experimental study of wind turbine power augmentation using airfoil flaps, including the Gurney flap. *Wind Engineering*, 24, (1), pp 25-34, January 2000.
- [2] Brown, L., Filippone, A. Aerofoil at low speeds with Gurney flaps. *Aeronautical Journal*, September 2003, vol. 107, nr. 1075, pp 539-546.
- [3] Date, J.C., Stephen, R.T. Computational evaluation of the periodic performance of a NACA 0012 fitted with a Gurney flap. *ASME*, March 2002, vol 124, pp 227-234.
- [4] Popelka, L., Matejka, M., Nožicka, J., Uruba, V. Multicriteria Design of Sailplane Airfoils In: The 16th International Symposium on Transport Phenomena. Praha: Czech Technical University in Prague, 2005, s. 142. ISBN 80-86786-04-8.
- [5] Jeffrey, D.R.M. An Investigation into the Aerodynamics of Gurney Flaps. *Ph.D. thesis*, *University of Southampton, Southampton, UK*.
- [6] Jeffrey, D., Zhang, X., Hurst, D.W. Aerodynamics of Gurney Flaps on a Single-element High-lift Wing. J Aircraft, 37, (2), March 2000.
- [7] Brown L., Filippone A. Aerofloil at Low Speeds with Gurney Flaps. *Aeronautical Journal* v 107 n 1075, September 2003.
- [8] Li, Y., Wang, J., Zhang, P. Influence of mounting angles and locations on the effect of Gurney flaps. *Journal of Aircraft*, May 2003, vol. 40, No. 3, pp 494-498.
- [9] Li, Y., Wang, J., Zhang, P. Effects of Gurney Flaps on a NACA0012 Airfoil. *Flow*, *Turbulence and Combustion* 68, 2002, pp 27-39.
- [10]Sedlár I. Komplexní výzkum aerodynamického profilu s Gurneyho klapkou, *Gradient 2001*.
- [11]Myose, R., Papadakis, M. and Heron, I. Gurney flap experiments on airfoils, wings, and reflection plane models. *J Aicr*. March 1998, 35, (2), pp 206-211.

 [12]Novotný J., Peta M.: Image Processing in PIV, ISTP
 16 – Prague 2005, CVUT FS and Pacific Center of Thermal-Fluids Engineering.



Fig. 17: NACA 23012 with 4 % GF, CFD, velocity flow field.



Fig. 18: NACA 23012 with 4 % GF, CFD, static pressure.



Fig. 19: NACA 23012 with 4 % GF, CFD, flow field near GF.



Velocity Field around the Profile - PIV

Fig. 20^X Piled image of X-component velocity field around the profile with closed path – no GF (results in m/s - PIV).



Fig. 21: Piled image of X-component velocity field around the profile with closed path – 4 % GF(results in m/s - PIV).



Fig. 22: $\stackrel{X}{P}$ iled image of Y-component velocity field around the profile with closed path – no GF (results in m/s - PIV).



Fig. 23: Piled image of Y-component velocity field around the profile with closed path – 4 % GF (results in m/s - PIV).

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Airfoil NACA	0012				23012	63 ₂ -215	23012	
References	[6]	[9]	[5]	[3]	[10]	[1]	This report	This report
Angle of attack [°]	7	7	6	6	7	6	7	7
C _L	1,2	0,7	0,5	0,6	0,6	0,7	0,37	0,41
C _L with GF	1,7	1,2	0,9	1,25	1,05	1,05	0,81	0,89
Increase [%]	41,67	71,43	80,00	108,33	75	50,00	122	120
Re	8.10 ⁵	2,1.10 ⁶	8.10 ⁵	8,5.10 ⁵	6.10 ⁵	2,4.10 ⁵	4.10 ⁵	4.10 ⁵
Note	Force m.	3 % GF		CFD	Force m.		PIV, K-J	PIV, Cp

Table 3: Comparison of lift coefficient results.