ICAS PAPER No. 72 – 08

TWO-DIMENSIONAL DUCTS FOR COMPRESSIBLE FLOWS

by
M. J. Cohen, Associate Professor, Technion-Israel Institute
and
H. Portnoy, Senior Lecturer, University of Salford, U.K.

The Eighth Congress of the International Council of the Aeronautical Sciences

INTERNATIONAAL CONGRESCENTRUM RAI-AMSTERDAM, THE NETHERLANDS
AUGUST 28 TO SEPTEMBER 2, 1972

Price: 3. Dfl.

TWO-DIMENSIONAL DUCTS FOR COMPRESSIBLE FLOWS

M. J. Cohen
Associate Professor
Technion-Israel Institute
of Technology, Israel

and

H. Portnoy
Senior Lecturer
University of Salford, U.K.

Abstract

A general series method of generating two-dimensional, inviscid, irrotational, compressible flows for ducts with an axis of symmetry, or with one plane wall, is described, which starts from a specified axial velocity distribution.

By judicious choice of this distribution and selection of a suitable streamline as a boundary, two-dimensional, infinite duct shapes may be derived.

A remarkable property of the solution enables us to specify a straight sonic line.

It is demonstrated that the effects of series truncation and of actual physical truncation of the infinite portions of the duct may be kept within acceptable practical limits.

1. Introduction

The problem of converting an initially uniform fluid stream in a duct to a higher uniform velocity further downstream, whilst ensuring that the pressure variation along the wall of the duct is acceptable for boundary layer control, is an important one, particularly for wind tunnel design. It is usually referred to as the 'contraction' problem and the pressure is usually required to be monotonically decreasing as we proceed downstream along the wall, or at least regions of increasing pressure must be restricted and the rates of increase must be small in these regions.

The theoretical approach to this problem has usually been to treat the flow as inviscid axisymmetric or two-dimensional, since most wind-tunnel contractions approximate to one or other of these geometries.

The axisymmetric incompressible case has been considered by Tsien(1), Szceniowski(2), and Bloomer (3) who use as their starting point a specified distribution of velocity along the duct axis. This approach was extended by Cohen and Ritchie(4), still in the incompressible case, and by Cohen and Nimery(5) for the case of axisymmetric compressible flow. A different approach to axisymmetric contraction design is that of Thwaites(6), but this has so far been restricted to incompressible flows only.

Two-dimensional incompressible contraction design has been considered by Cheers(7), Goldstein(8), Lighthill(9), Whitehead, Wu and Waters(10) and Mills(11). The last paper is a two-dimensional version of Thwaites's work. For the case of a two-dimensional contraction with compressible flow, of particular importance in supersonic nozzle design, there has been a considerable amount of material published, some of the more important of which are listed in references 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16. Some of these, however, relate only to the supersonic case.

In reference 17, mention is made of a numerical solution to the problem of an axisymmetric convergent cone with a plane sonic exit obtained by Van Zhu Tsuan, but it appears that this method cannot be used to synthesise a plane sonic exit as part of a design requirement. Ovsiannikov(18) has shown that for two-dimensional jets exhausting from a reservoir into a space with sonic conditions, the sonic line across the jet is straight and at a finite distance from the outlet. He suggests that the free-boundary shape can be used to design the contraction part of a two-dimensional subsonic-supersonic nozzle so as to give the straight sonic line which is desirable as a starting point for the design of the supersonic effuser. However, the reservoir inlet conditions are impracticable to simulate in a duct flow and the constant pressure on the jet boundary is not ideal for boundary-layer control on a duct wall.

Cohen and Nimery(19) have shown that, for axisymmetric ducts, their earlier work(5) can, in fact, be used to design contractions with subsonic inlet conditions and a specified straight sonic line across the outlet section.

The present paper contains an extension of the work of references 5 and 19 to two-dimensional ducts with an axis of symmetry. A method of design, exactly analogous to that of reference 5, is developed, starting from a specified axial velocity distribution and it is demonstrated that a straight sonic line may be specified. A number of example designs are given and discussed. It should be noted that the present work applies unchanged to half nozzles obtained by replacing the axis of symmetry by a solid wall, if boundary layer effects are negligible.

The authors wish to express their thanks to Mr D. A. Nimery for writing the computer programs for the present work and for assistance in checking the lengthy algebraic development of the series coefficients.

2. Fundamental Equations

Consider an ideal, irrotational, compressible flow in a two-dimensional duct with an axis of symmetry, as shown in Fig.1. The fluid is transformed from a uniform parallel flow at infinity upstream to a uniform parallel flow at infinity downstream. Axes are taken with origin O somewhere on the duct axis of symmetry, Ox along this axis of symmetry in the flow direction and Oy vertically upwards. The fluid pressure and density are p and ρ respectively, u and v are velocity components parallel to Ox and Oy, respectively, rendered non-dimensional by division by the limiting velocity of the fluid, and $\tau = u^2+v^2$, the total dimensionless velocity magnitude.



FIG. 1.

If subscript oo refers to reservoir conditions we define in addition

$$\overline{p} = p/p_{oo} \quad , \quad \overline{\rho} = \rho/\rho_{oo} \quad .$$

The equations of mass and energy conservation may now be written, respectively, as

$$\frac{\partial(\overline{\rho}u)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(\overline{\rho}v)}{\partial y} = 0 \tag{1}$$

and

$$\tau^2 + \frac{\overline{p}}{\overline{\rho}} = 1 \quad . \tag{2}$$

The requirement for irrotationality means that we can define a velocity potential ϕ such that

$$u = \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x} \quad , \quad v = \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y} \tag{3}$$

and since the flow is homentropic

$$\frac{\overline{p}}{\overline{\rho}^{\gamma}} = 1 \quad . \tag{4}$$

3. The Series Expansions

We now assume a series for ϕ, having the required symmetry properties. This is

$$\phi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(x)y^{2n} \quad . \tag{5}$$

Using (3), and denoting differentiation with respect to x by a dash, we get

$$u = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n'(x)y^{2n} \tag{6a}$$

$$v = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nf_n(x)y^{2n-1}$$

$$= 2y\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)f_{n+1}(x)y^{2n} \quad . \tag{6b}$$

The following derivatives are also required:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n''(x)y^{2n} \tag{7a}$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(2n-1)f_n(x)y^{2n-2}$$

$$= 2\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)(2n+1)f_{n+1}(x)y^{2n} . \tag{7b}$$

Writing suffix o to refer to conditions on the axis of symmetry we may assume the following expansion for $\overline{\rho}$, having the required symmetry about y=0 :

$$\overline{\rho} = \overline{\rho}_o(x)\exp\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x)y^{2n}\} \tag{8}$$

and from (4) it follows that

$$\overline{p} = \overline{p}_o(x)\exp\{\gamma\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x)y^{2n}\} \tag{9}$$

and

$$\frac{\overline{p}}{\overline{\rho}} = \frac{\overline{p}_o}{\overline{\rho}_o}\exp\{(\gamma-1)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x)y^{2n}\}$$

$$= (1-\tau_o^2)\exp\{(\gamma-1)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x)y^{2n}\} \ , \tag{10}$$

where (2) has been used on the axis of symmetry.

In Appendix 1 it is shown that (10) can be expanded as

$$\frac{\overline{p}}{\overline{\rho}} = (1-\tau_o^2)\{1+(\gamma-1)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(g_n+G_{n-1}[g])y^{2n}\} \tag{11a}$$

where

$$G_{n-1}[g] = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(\gamma-1)^j \sum_{i_1=j}^{i_o-1}\sum_{i_2=j-1}^{i_1-1}\cdots\sum_{i_j=1}^{i_{j-1}-1}$$

$$\left[\frac{(i_o-i_1)(i_1-i_2)\ldots(i_{j-1}-i_j)}{i_oi_1i_2 \quad \ldots \quad i_{j-1}} \times \right.$$

$$\left. \times\, g_{i_o-i_1}g_{i_1-i_2}\ldots g_{i_{j-1}-i_j}g_{i_j}\right] \tag{11b}$$

and i_o is to be identified with n, whilst the value of $G_{n-1}[g]$ is taken as zero when n=1.

We also require the derivatives of $\log\overline{\rho}$. From (8) these are

$$\frac{\partial \log\bar\rho}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{\bar\rho_0}\frac{\partial\bar\rho_0}{\partial x} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n'(x)y^{2n} \tag{12a}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \log\bar\rho}{\partial y} = 2y\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\, g_n(x)y^{2n-2} \quad . \tag{12b}$$

4. The Recurrence Relationships

Two sets of recurrence relationships are now derived which form an interlocking system from which the functions $f_n(x)$ and $g_n(x)$ may be found in terms of the specified distribution of velocity along the axis, τ_0.

If equation (1) is expanded and divided throughout by $\bar\rho$ we obtain

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + u\frac{\partial\log\bar\rho}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial\log\bar\rho}{\partial y} = 0 \quad .$$

Using (6),(7) and (12) and at the same time changing summation variables as necessary to avoid confusion, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[f_n'' + 2(n+1)(2n+1)f_{n+1}\right]y^{2n} +$$

$$+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n' y^{2n}\left[\frac{1}{\bar\rho_0}\frac{\partial\bar\rho_0}{\partial x} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} g_m' y^{2m}\right]$$

$$+ 2y\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+1)f_{n+1}y^{2n} . 2y\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m\, g_m y^{2m-2} = 0 \, .$$

If the products of summations are replaced by double summations in which n is then replaced by p-m we obtain, on reversing the order of these double summations and then replacing p by n,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[\frac{1}{\bar\rho_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\bar\rho_0 f_n') + 2(n+1)(2n+1)f_{n+1}\right]y^{2n} +$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left[f_{n-m}'g_m' + 4m(n-m+1)f_{n-m+1}g_m\right]y^{2n} = 0 . \tag{13}$$

Now equations (2) and (4) applied on the axis yield

$$\bar\rho_0 = (1-\tau_0^2)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \quad , \tag{14}$$

so that on substituting this in (13) together with $f_0' = \tau_0$, equation of the coefficients of like powers of y yields the following recurrence relationships:

$$f_1 = \frac{(\gamma+1)\tau_0^2 - \gamma + 1}{2(\gamma-1)(1-\tau_0^2)}\tau_0' \tag{15a}$$

and

$$f_{\substack{n+1\\ n>0}} = \frac{-1}{2(n+1)(2n+1)}\left\{f_n'' - \frac{2f_n'\tau_0\tau_0'}{(\gamma-1)(1-\tau_0^2)} + \right.$$

$$\left. + \sum_{m=1}^{n}\left[f_{n-m}'g_m' + 4m(n-m+1)f_{n-m+1}g_m\right]\right\} \, . \tag{15b}$$

The second set of recurrence relationships is found by substituting (11) and (6) into the energy equation (2), using the fact that $\tau^2 = u^2 + v^2$. We obtain

$$(1-\tau_0^2)\{1+(\gamma-1)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(g_n + G_{n-1}[g])y^{2n}\} =$$

$$1 - \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f_m' y^{2m}\right)^2 - \left(2\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m f_m y^{2m-1}\right)^2 =$$

$$1 - f_0'^2 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\{f_n'f_0' + \sum_{m=1}^{n}(f_{n-m}'f_m' +$$

$$4(n-m+1)mf_{n-m+1}f_m)\}y^{2n}$$

the last line being derived after a manipulation of the products of series similar to that described previously.

Equating coefficients of y^0 yields a result equivalent to

$$f_0' = \tau_0 \quad .$$

Equating coefficients of y^{2n+2} gives the recurrence relationships

$$g_{\substack{n+1\\ n\geq 0}} = -\frac{\tau_0}{(\gamma-1)(1-\tau_0^2)}f_{n+1}' -$$

$$-\frac{1}{(\gamma-1)(1-\tau_0^2)}\sum_{m=1}^{n+1}\{f_{n+1-m}'f_m' +$$

$$+ 4(n+2-m)mf_{n+2-m}f_m\} - G_n[g] \, . \tag{16}$$

The forms of the relationships (15) and (16) for γ=1.4 and n=0 to 3 are given in Appendix 2. These are the actual expressions used in the present work.

It is readily seen that (15) and (16) form an interlocking set of relationships by means of which the functions f_n and g_n may be found, starting from the specified axial velocity distribution τ_0. Thus, knowing τ_0, f_1 can be found from (15) and so g_1 can be found from the first of the set (16). Enough information is now available to calculate f_2 from (15) and so on. At each stage we switch to the next equation of the other set and can evaluate the right hand side by substitution of functions already found, and their derivatives (of the first order for the g_n and up to the second order for the f_n).

Although this process is elementary, the algebra involved rapidly becomes very lengthy, so that the present work only carries the calculations up to and including the terms f_4y^8 in u, $8f_4y^7$ in v and g_4y^8 in (7),(8) and (9). It is found nevertheless, that this number of terms gives an accuracy sufficient for practical purposes in all cases examined so far. This point, together with other aspects of accuracy, are discussed later on (see Section 8).

Each of the f_n, g_n etc which was calculated appears as a finite series of terms involving products of derivatives of τ_0 multiplied by coefficients which are simple algebraic functions of τ_0 (but which are, nevertheless, very lengthy expressions for the larger values of n). The results for a specific axial velocity distribution τ_0 are obtained by substitution in these general expressions.

5. Method of Duct Design

Once the f_n and g_n are found for a given axial velocity distribution τ_0, the basic parameters of the associated flow field can be computed from equations (6), (8) and (9) and other quantities derived from them, such as speed of sound and Mach number can be also found.

This process may be used to design ducts to convert one uniform flow at infinity upstream into another uniform flow at infinity downstream. The duct must be doubly infinite, since τ_0 has to be specified along the whole of the x axis. However, by careful selection of τ_0 the flow may be effectively converted, for practical purposes, over a finite length of duct.

The procedure is as follows. After choosing a τ_0, u and v are found at the point x=0, y=1, say, using (6). Taking an increment Δx, the next point on the streamline through (0,1) can be taken as being the point $(\Delta x, 1+(v/u)_1 \Delta x)$ where subscript 1 refers to values at the first point (0,1), and v and u can be found at this new point so that the slope v/u may be ammended for the next increment. Proceeding in this way both upstream and downstream, the streamline through (0,1) can be traced out together with its velocity distribution $\sqrt{(u^2+v^2)}$. When the velocity differs from the value of τ_0 at the same x by an acceptable tolerance we conclude that the region of effectively uniform parallel flow has been reached and the numerical integration is stopped. Other streamlines may be similarly mapped starting at points such as (0,0.8), (0,1.2) and so on. Any one of the streamlines may be selected as a duct profile; the nearer the chosen streamline is to the axis, the more slender will the resulting contraction be and the fewer will be the number of terms of the series required. On the other hand, the further out the chosen boundary streamline is, the blunter will be the contraction, the more will be the number of terms of the series required for an acceptable accuracy (since we move further away from the one-dimensional flow, which is typified by the f_0' term in (6) only, with all other f and g functions vanishing) and the more will be the tendency for an adverse pressure gradient to occur on the wall. There will eventually be a streamline which just avoids adverse pressure gradients, which will represent the 'optimal', bluntest contraction for the given τ_0; streamlines outside this one being unacceptable as duct shapes. It is, of course, possible that this selection of streamlines further and further out from the axis may be halted by the inadequacy of our truncated series before the optimal shape is reached, in which case this could only be found by extending the series further. However, in the cases so far computed, the number of terms specified previously has always proved adequate.

It should be emphasised that the optimal duct referred to above is only optimal in the context of the specified τ_0 distribution. It might be possible in any specific case to get a better optimal duct, i.e. a blunter, shorter one for the same velocity conversion by, starting with a different τ_0 distribution.

Matters connected with numerical accuracy, adequacy of the number of terms in the series, convergence tests and so on are discussed in Section 8 and the selection of τ_0 distributions is discussed in Section 7.

6. Semi-Infinite Ducts with Sonic Outlets - Designing for a Plane Sonic Outlet

The method we have just described can be used for designing ducts with purely subsonic flow and is particularly suited to the design of contraction cones. These contraction cones will be infinite in extent in both the upstream and downstream directions as long as the delivery conditions downstream are subsonic.

However, in the particular case of SONIC delivery conditions the cone can be made only semi-infinite, its finite sonic end occuring in the finite region, by a simple artifice; namely, to adopt an axial velocity distribution which accelerates to sonic conditions at some position $x=x_0$. along the duct axis and then decelerates to some subsonic value at infinity downstream. The subsonic DIFFUSER part of the nozzle is of no interest on physical grounds but once the flow has become sonic (even if immediately afterwards it decelerates to subsonic flow once more) the nozzle can be terminated at the sonic line to form a semi-infinite subsonic contraction with a sonic outlet. The sonic outlet flow may then be used as the starting point for designing a supersonic effuser by standard methods.

A very desirable condition at such a sonic outlet is that the sonic line should be straight and normal to the axis of symmetry. In Appendix 3 it is proved that if we select an axial velocity distribution with derivative τ_0' zero at the sonic point on the axis, such a straight sonic line, producing uniform sonic exit flow from the contraction cone, is automatically ensured. This remarkable property enables us to design contractions with plane sonic exits which are thus eminently suitable as the starting point for the supersonic effuser design.

7. Selection of Axial Velocity Distributions

The axial velocity distribution, τ_0, which is selected must be defined along the whole of the x axis where all its derivatives must exist, and it must satisfy the conditions

$$\lim_{x \to \pm\infty} \frac{d^n \tau_0}{dx^n} = 0, \qquad n > 0 \quad . \tag{17}$$

In the case of infinite ducts the value of τ_0 must tend to the required inlet value as $x \to -\infty$ (say) and to the required exit value as $x \to +\infty$.

For the semi-infinite ducts with sonic outlet, we may arbitrarily choose the outlet to be at x=0 and the inlet at $x=-\infty$. The τ_0 distribution must now have the required inlet value as $x \to -\infty$ and the value $1/\sqrt{6}$ at x=0, for diatomic gases. If the outlet is required to be a plane sonic surface we must also have $\tau_0'(0)=0$. The τ_0 distribution to the right of the exit need only be a bounded analytic continuation of the distribution to the left and it must, of course, satisfy condition (17).

In the present paper the following velocity distributions have been used to calculate examples.

(i) Distribution A

$$\tau_0 = 0.05 + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} - 0.05\right)e^{-k^2x^2} \tag{18}$$

with $k^2 = 0.0016, 0.0036, 0.0064$ and 0.01. The value of k^2 giving the bluntest cone without adverse gradients is also found.

This distribution converts a subsonic stream with value $\tau_0 = 0.05$ at $-\infty$ to a uniform sonic flow across the line x=0 and then returns it to $\tau_0=0.05$ at $+\infty$. We are, of course, mainly interested in the left-hand half of the flow up to the plane sonic throat.

Since τ_0 is a function of kx it is easily shown that the velocity components, and hence the flow properties in general, are functions of

$$X = kx \quad \text{and} \quad Y = ky$$

only. Thus, the different values of k^2 really correspond to a simple scaling of a single basic flow pattern, which we may select as the one for k=1. It follows that the optimal shape of contraction derived for this basic flow is the same as the optimal shapes which could be found for the various k^2, apart from a scaling factor and so only the one calculation is needed for the complete set of values of k^2.

The basic flow pattern is shown in Fig.2 and the optimal cone is also shown there.



FIG. 2.

(ii) Distribution B

$$\tau_0 = 0.05 + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} - 0.05\right)\operatorname{sech}^2 kx \tag{19}$$

with k = 0.04, 0.08, 0.12, 0.16 and 0.2. Also, as before, the optimal value of k is found.

This distribution has the same overall properties as Distribution A and the previous remarks hold for it too, including those concerning the basic flow pattern.

The results for the basic flow pattern are shown in Fig.3.



FIG. 3.

8. Convergence and Accuracy of the Solutions

An examination of the complexity of the recurrence relationships in Section 4 shows that it is very unlikely that a formal proof of the convergence of the series expansions (6), (7) and (8) is possible.

In the circumstances a numerical convergence check has been applied to the limited number of terms calculated, to provide at least some basis for the assumption of convergence.

The technique is based on a simple comparison test and is developed in reference 5. It may be briefly stated as follows. It has been found in all cases examined that the f_n and g_n appear to be oscillatory functions with damped amplitude as $x \to \infty$. The frequency increases and the maximum amplitude decreases with increasing n and it is reasonable to assume that these are general characteristics of the functions for velocity distributions such as we are interested in, even though they are only deduced from an inspection of the behaviour of f_n and g_n for $n \leq 4$ over a finite range of x for a limited number of τ_0. We are therefore able to define functions $\phi_n(x)$ to be envelopes of the $|f_n(x)|$ functions, and it is then shown in reference 5 that if the condition

$$\frac{\phi_n(x)}{\phi_{n-1}(x)} y^2(x) < 1 \quad ,$$

where y(x) is the y coordinate of the point at x for which the test is being applied, is satisfied for all n, then the series (5) is absolutely convergent for that value of x. The work in reference 5 refers, of course, to the axisymmetric case but the mathematical problem is the same, although a slight change of symbols is made here. In practice of course, we only apply this test for n=1,2,3 and 4 at a finite number of discrete values of x. This does, however, enable us to approximately delineate the convergence boundary within the finite region examined, although it is not prudent in the circumstances to make use of streamlines which approach this boundary too closely. A similar test is applied to the g_n to estimate the region of convergence of (8), (9) and (10).

The above is reinforced by checking the mass flow at a number of values of x within the selected boundary streamline. This also serves as a check on the effects of truncating the series, and evidently we are limited in our ability to approach the convergence boundaries by the truncation error, which gets worse as we move out from the axis so that, in effect, we are forced to work well within this boundary. Errors in the mass flow at any station as compared with the known exact throat mass flow were kept to a maximum of 0.1%, for $\Delta X=0.001$, so that the duct ordinates are correct to the same percentage.

Another factor to be considered in assessing the accuracy is the effect of step length ΔX. The calculations were done for $\Delta X=0.01$ and $\Delta X=0.001$ and the error in the ordinates calculated was reduced by an order of magnitude, i.e. from 1% to 0.1%, in the case of Distribution A.

The duct really extends to infinity at inlet (and outlet, if this is not taken at the sonic line). The infinite extension is assumed to be replaceable by a parallel channel beyond the point where the wall and axis velocities differ by less than 0.1%. This enables us to define the effective length of the varying portion of the duct or contraction and to define the slenderness ratio

$$\frac{\text{Total inlet height}}{\text{Effective length}} ,$$

where total height equals twice the value of y.

The optimal duct evidently has the largest slenderness ratio without adverse pressure gradients occuring on the wall, for the particular τ_0 distribution selected.

9. Results and Discussion

The results of the above calculations are shown for Distribution A in Fig.2 and for Distribution B in Fig.3.

For Distribution A the slenderness ratios obtained with k^2 = 0.0016, 0.0036, 0.0064 and 0.01 were respectively 1:6.74, 1:4.04, 1:3.48 and 1:2.8. The value of k^2 for the optimal duct was found to be 0.0025 and the corresponding slenderness ratio was 1:5.4.

Similarly for Distribution B the slenderness parameters corresponding to k = 0.08, 0.12, 0.16 are 1:5.9, 1:3.71 and 1:2 (estimated), respectively, and the optimal duct has k = 0.12 with a slenderness ratio 1:3.71.

Consideration of the flow pattern plots in Figs 2 & 3 shows that the isobars (which can also be labelled as 'iso-Mach No.' lines) seem to be showing a tendency to crowd together as they move upwards towards the line $M \simeq 0.4$ where the iso-Mach-number lines change their directions of curvature; those to the left being curved one way and those to the right, the other way. This line at about M= 0.4 seems to be straight and may be an asymptote for the other lines which crowd towards it.

Appendix 1

Consider the function

$$Q(s)=\exp\{(\gamma-1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n s^n\} \quad . \tag{1.1}$$

If Q can be expanded as

$$Q(s)= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n s^n \tag{1.2}$$

we must have

$$h_0 = Q(0) = 1 \quad , \tag{1.3a}$$

$$h_n = \left(\frac{d^nQ}{ds^n}\right)_{s=0} \frac{1}{n!} \quad . \tag{1.3b}$$

Now from (1.1)

$$\log Q = (\gamma-1)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n s^n$$

$$\frac{dQ}{ds} = (\gamma-1)Q\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n g_n s^{n-1} \quad .$$

Differentiating t times using Leibnitz's theorem:

$$\frac{d^{t+1}Q}{ds^{t+1}} = (\gamma-1)\sum_{k=0}^{t}\frac{t!}{(t-k)!k!}\frac{d^kQ}{ds^k}\left(\frac{d}{ds}\right)^{t-k}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n g_n s^{n-1}$$

$$=(\gamma-1)\sum_{k=0}^{t}\frac{t!}{(t-k)!k!}\frac{d^kQ}{ds^k}$$

$$\sum_{n=t-k+1}^{\infty}\frac{n!}{(n-t+k-1)!} g_n s^{n-t+k-1} \quad .$$

Putting s=0 and using (1.3)

$$h_{t+1} = \frac{\gamma-1}{(t+1)!}\sum_{k=0}^{t}\frac{t!}{(t-k)!k!} k!h_k(t-k+1)!g_{t-k+1} \qquad t\geq 0$$

$$= \frac{\gamma-1}{t+1}\sum_{k=0}^{t}(t-k+1)g_{t-k+1}h_k \quad .$$

This last expression is most conveniently expressed as

$$h_1 = (\gamma-1)g_1 \tag{1.4a}$$

$$h_{t+1} = (\gamma-1)g_{t+1} + \frac{\gamma-1}{t+1}\sum_{k=1}^{t}(t-k+1)g_{t-k+1}h_k \quad . \qquad t\geq 1 \tag{1.4b}$$

The solution of the recurrence equation (1.4) may be effected as follows. If we define a function $G_n[g]$ by the statements

$$G_n[g] = \sum_{j=1}^{n}(\gamma-1)^j\sum_{i_1=j}^{i_0-1}\;\sum_{i_2=j-1}^{i_1-1}\;\sum_{i_3=j-2}^{i_2-1}\cdots\sum_{i_j=1}^{i_{j-1}-1}\left[\frac{(i_0-i_1)(i_1-i_2)\ldots(i_{j-1}-i_j)}{i_0 i_1 i_2 \;\ldots\; i_{j-1}}\times g_{i_0-i_1}g_{i_1-i_2}\ldots g_{i_{j-1}-i_j}g_{i_j}\right], \qquad n\geq 1 \tag{1.5a}$$

where i_0 is to be taken as n+1 and

$$G_0[g] \equiv 0 \quad , \tag{1.5b}$$

then it is readily shown that

$$G_n[g] = \frac{\gamma-1}{n+1}\sum_{k=1}^{n}(n-k+1)g_{n-k+1}(g_k+G_{k-1}[g]) . \qquad n\geq 1 \tag{1.6}$$

It follows, therefore, that the solution of (1.4) is

$$h_n = (\gamma-1)(g_n+G_{n-1}[g]) \quad , \qquad n\geq 1 \tag{1.7}$$

so that the expansion of (1.1) is

$$Q(s) = 1+(\gamma-1)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(g_n+G_{n-1}[g])s^n \quad , \tag{1.8}$$

which can be used to expand (8), (9) or (10).

Appendix 2

The expressions for $G_1[g]$, $G_2[g]$ etc. may be evaluated directly from the definition (1.5) or by use of the recurrence relationship (1.6). In either case we find:

$$G_1[g] = \frac{\gamma-1}{2}g_1^2 \tag{2.1a}$$

$$G_2[g] = (\gamma-1)g_1g_2 + \frac{(\gamma-1)^2}{6}g_1^3 \tag{2.1b}$$

$$G_3[g] = (\gamma-1)(g_1g_3+\frac{1}{2}g_2^2) + \frac{(\gamma-1)^2}{2}g_2g_1^2 + \frac{(\gamma-1)^3}{24}g_1^4 \tag{2.1c}$$

etc.

We can now write down the two sets of recurrence relationships (15) and (16) for n=1,2 and 3 and γ=1.4.

We find from (15) (replacing f_0' by τ_0 when it occurs):

$$f_1 = \frac{6\tau_0^2-1}{2(1-\tau_0^2)}\tau_0' \tag{2.2a}$$

$$f_2 = -\frac{1}{12}\left(f_1'' - \frac{5\tau_0\tau_0'}{1-\tau_0^2}f_1' + \tau_0 g_1' + 4f_1g_1\right) \tag{2.2b}$$

$$f_3 = -\frac{1}{30}\left(f_2'' - \frac{5\tau_0\tau_0'}{1-\tau_0^2}f_2' + f_1'g_1' + \tau_0g_2' + 8f_2g_1 + 8f_1g_2\right) \tag{2.2c}$$

$$f_4 = -\frac{1}{56}\left(f_3'' - \frac{5\tau_0\tau_0'}{1-\tau_0^2}f_3' + f_2'g_1' + f_1'g_2' + \tau_0g_3' + 12f_3g_1 + 16f_2g_2 + 12f_1g_3\right) \tag{2.2d}$$

and from (16), using (2.1) and again replacing f_0' by τ_0:

$$g_1 = \frac{-5}{1-\tau_0^2}(2f_1^2 + \tau_0 f_1') \tag{2.3a}$$

$$g_2 = \frac{-5}{1-\tau_o^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}f_1'^2+\tau_o f_2'+8f_1f_2\right)-\frac{1}{5}g_1^2 \quad (2.3b)$$

$$g_3 = \frac{-5}{1-\tau_o^2}(\tau_o f_3'+f_1'f_2'+8f_2^2+12f_1f_3) -$$

$$-\frac{2}{5}g_1g_2 - \frac{2}{75}g_1^3 \quad (2.3c)$$

$$g_4 = \frac{-5}{1-\tau_o^2}(\tau_o f_4'+f_1'f_3'+16f_1f_4+24f_2f_3 +$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}f_2'^2) - \frac{1}{5}g_2^2 - \frac{2}{5}g_1g_3 -$$

$$-\frac{2}{25}g_1^2g_2 - \frac{1}{375}g_1^4 \quad . \quad (2.3d)$$

Appendix 3

Let us consider the sets of functions $f_n(x)$ and $g_n(x)$, which determine the flow, at a position $x = x_o$ where the flow is sonic i.e.

$$\tau_o(x_o) = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}} \quad . \quad (3.1)$$

Let us suppose that it is stipulated that

$$\tau_o'(x_o) = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

and that we have determined by inspection that under these conditions

$$f_\nu(x_o) = f_\nu'(x_o) = g_\nu(x_o) = g_\nu'(x_o) = 0,$$

$$1 \le \nu \le n \quad . \quad (3.3)$$

Using the recurrence relationships (15) together with (3.1),(3.2) and (3.3) it is now readily shown that

$$f_{n+1}(x_o) = \frac{-1}{2(n+1)(2n+1)} f_n''(x_o) \ , \quad (3.4)$$

$$f_{n+1}'(x_o) = \frac{-1}{2(n+1)(2n+1)}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}}\, g_n''(x_o) + \right.$$

$$\left. + f_n'''(x_o)\right) \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$f_{n+1}''(x_o) = \frac{-1}{2(n+1)(2n+1)}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}}\, g_n'''(x_o) + \right.$$

$$\left. + f_n^{iv}(x_o)\right) \quad . \quad (3.6)$$

Similarly, using (16) we can show that

$$g_{n+1}(x_o) = -\sqrt{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma-1}}\, f_{n+1}'(x_o) \ , \quad (3.7)$$

$$g_{n+1}'(x_o) = -\sqrt{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma-1}}\, f_{n+1}''(x_o) \ , \quad (3.8)$$

$$g_{n+1}''(x_o) = -\sqrt{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma-1}}\, f_{n+1}'''(x_o) \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$g_{n+1}'''(x_o) = -\sqrt{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma-1}}\, f_{n+1}^{iv}(x_o) \ . \quad (3.10)$$

If we use (3.8), with n in place of n+1, in (3.4) we see that by virtue of(3.3)

$$f_{n+1}(x_o) = 0 \quad . \quad (3.11)$$

Using (3.9) with n in place of n+1, (3.5) becomes

$$f_{n+1}'(x_o) = 0 \quad . \quad (3.12)$$

Similarly using (3.10), (3.6) becomes

$$f_{n+1}''(x_o) = 0 \quad , \quad (3.13)$$

so that by virtue of (3.12),(3.13),(3.7) and (3.8) become, respectively,

$$g_{n+1}(x_o) = 0 \quad (3.14)$$

and

$$g_{n+1}'(x_o) = 0 \quad . \quad (3.15)$$

Since we can check that (3.3) is true for n=1,2 etc by direct computation, it follows through (3.11),(3.12),(3.14) and (3.15), by induction, that

$$f_n(x_o) = f_n'(x_o) = g_n(x_o) = g_n'(x_o) \quad (3.16)$$

for <u>all</u> n.

It also follows from (3.8) that

$$f_n''(x_o) = 0 \quad (3.17)$$

for all n.

We have thus shown that if the axial distribution of velocity τ_o is chosen so that $\tau_o' = 0$ at the sonic position, then, by reference to equations (5),(6),(7),(8)(9) and (10), there will be no variation of flow properties with y at that position and v will be zero, u will equal $\sqrt{(\gamma-1)/(\gamma+1)}$ and $\partial u/\partial x$ will be zero across that section of the duct, i.e. a plane sonic surface, (with $\partial u/\partial x = 0$ everywhere on it in addition) is obtained.

Finally, it should be remarked that for the axisymmetric duct solution [5],[19], the proof given above applies in almost exactly the same form, since the second set of recurrence relationships (16) is the same in that case and the first set only differs slightly in the forms of the coefficients of the various terms; the differences being irrelevant to the above proof.

Notation

$f_n(x)$ coefficient in the series for ϕ. See equation (5).

$G_n[g]$ see equation (1.5), Appendix 1.

$g_n(x)$ coefficient in the series for $\log\bar{\rho}$. See equation (8).

h_n see equations (1.1) and (1.2), Appendix 1.

i_j typical member of a sequence of summation variables.

j summation variable.

k summation variable; also parameter in velocity distributions.

m summation variable.

n summation variable.

p summation variable; also fluid pressure.

$\bar{p}$ p/p_{oo}.

$Q(s)$ see equation (1.1), Appendix 1.

s variable used in Appendix 1.

u x component of velocity divided by the fluid limiting velocity.

v y component of velocity divided by the fluid limiting velocity.

X k x.

x coordinate measured parallel to the duct axis in the direction of flow from an arbitrary origin on the axis.

x_o value of x where flow becomes sonic.

Y k y.

y coordinate measured perpendicular to the flow, from an arbitrary origin on the axis of the duct.

γ ratio of specific heats of the fluid.

ϕ velocity potential. See equation (3).

$\phi_n(x)$ envelope function for $|f_n(x)|$. See section (8).

ν integer in the range 1 to n.

ρ fluid density.

$\bar{\rho}$ ρ/ρ_{oo}.

τ $\sqrt{(u^2+v^2)}$.

ΔX step length in numerical integration.

$()_o$ subscript referring to conditions on the duct axis.

$()_{oo}$ subscript referring to reservoir conditions.

$()_1$ subscript referring to the starting point of the numerical integration procedure.

References

1. H.S. Tsien On the design of the contraction cone for a wind tunnel. J.Ae.Sci. Vol.10, No.2, 1943.

2. B. Szczeniowski Contraction cone for a wind tunnel. J.Ae.Sci. Vol.10, No.8, 1943.

3. N.T. Bloomer Notes on the mathematical design of nozzles and wind-tunnel contractions. Aero.Quart. Vol.8, August 1957.

4. M.J. Cohen and N.J.B. Ritchie Low-speed three-dimensional contraction design. J.Roy.Ae.Soc. Vol.66, April 1962.

5. M.J. Cohen and D.A. Nimery Axisymmetric nozzles for compressible flow. Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Department of Aeronautical Engineering. T.A.E. Report No.122, April 1971.

6. B. Thwaites On the design of contractions for wind tunnels. Aero. Res.Council of Great Britain, R and M No.2278, 1946.

7. F. Cheers Note on wind-tunnel contractions. Aero.Res.Council of Great Britain, R and M No.2137,1945.

8. S. Goldstein Notes on the design of converging channels. Aero.Res. Council of Great Britain, R and M No.2643, 1945.

9. M.J. Lighthill A new method of two-dimensional aerodynamic design. Aero.Res.Council of Great Britain, R and M No.2112, April 1945.

10. L.G. Whitehead, L.Y. Wu and M.H.L. Waters Contracting ducts of finite length. Aero.Quart. Vol.2, February 1951.

11. R.D. Mills Some finite two-dimensional contractions. Aero.Quart. February 1968.

12. G.I. Taylor The flow of air at high speeds past curved surfaces. Aero. Res.Council of Great Britain, R and M No.1381, 1930.

13. S.G. Hooker Proc.Roy.Soc. A.145, 1934.

14. M.J. Lighthill The hodograph transformation in transonic flow. Proc. Roy.Soc. A.191, 1947.

15. L. Fox and R.V. Southwell On the flow of gas through a nozzle with velocities exceeding the speed of sound. Proc.Roy.Soc. A.183, 1944.

16. A.M. Binnie Notes on gas flow through a nozzle. Proc.Cam.Phil. Soc. Vol.46, 1950.

17. R.M. Belotserkovski and P.J. Chushkin A numerical method of integral relations. Zh.Vych.Mati.Mat.Fiz. Vol.2. 1962. (U.S.S.R. Computational Center for Maths. and Math. Physics. No.5, 1963. Pergamon Press.)

18. L.V. Ovsiannikov Gas flow with straight transition line. Prikl. Matem.Mekh. Vol.13, 1949. (Also NACA TM 1295, May 1951).

19. M.J. Cohen and D.A. Nimery The design of axisymmetric convergent cones with plane sonic outlets. Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Department of Aeronautical Engineering T.A.E Report No.129, May 1971.